|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Responsive to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall**  **Judgment** | **Comments** |
| 1. What does the unit do? | AWOR |  |  | AWOR | Prompt is answered thoroughly with references and examples provided. (10) |
| 2. What is the unit’s relationship to the college mission & strategic plan? | AWOR | AWOR | AWOR | AWOR | Prompt is answered completely with references, examples, and supporting data provided. (10) |
| 3. Why are the unit processes done? | AWOR | AWOR | AWR | AWOR | Thorough response, providing a compelling argument for the necessity of the department. Potential growth from benchmarking is noted; but specific examples of plans or possibilities are not included. (9) |
| 4. How does the unit impact student outcomes? | AWOR | AWR | AWOR | AWOR | Questions answered completely, with specific examples and data provided. Reference article is from 2005. This topic should be researched often, so a more current reference is likely available. (9) |
| 5. How effectively does the unit communicate? | AWOR | AWOR | AWOR | AWOR | Materials updated very recently, and frequently, multiple avenues utilized. Evidence provided for suppositions. (10) |
| 6. Does the unit build and leverage partnerships? | AWOR | AWOR | AWOR | AWOR | Multiple and varied continued partnerships listed, and explanations given. (10) |
| 7. Are staff supported with professional development? | RR | AWRC | RR | RR | This table appears to be filled out incorrectly, with education listed where PD goes and PD listed where “how is this valuable to the unit?” goes. So, that the value to the unit column is essentially missing. (2) |
| 8. [Optional] Does the unit have sufficient facilities and equipment? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | AWRC | AWRC | AWRC | AWRC | From surveys: “Do you feel that this program will help with your success as a student? 77% Overall definitive yes” came from 56% “Definitely will” and 21% “Probably will.” “Probably” is not definitive, so this 77% is misleading.  Missing a CIP.  Generally, data was provided and supported assertions. (7) |
| 10. How will the unit evaluate its success? | AWOR | AWOR | AWR | AWOR | Questions are addressed. Specifics about evaluation are not given thoroughly. (9) |
| 11. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Tables | AWOR |  |  | AWOR | Suggestion: Row 1, Measures block (unless that block was also frozen), remove “IE” and “item.” (10) |

**Overall Decision: 86 points**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Accepted Without Recommendations | X Accepted With Recommendations | \_\_\_Accepted with Required Recommendations | Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

I think that the point values result in AWOR, technically; but there are some things which really should be changed. There is a lot of variation in section quality; so the point total doesn’t reflect all sections.