

ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

Program: Engineering Field of Study Reviewer Nick Morgan

	Responsive to the Component	Evidence	Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence	Overall Judgment	Comments
1. What does the program do?	4			4	
2. Program's relationship to the college mission & strategic plan.	4	3	3	10	
3. Program relationship to student demand.	4	3	3	10	Should plan for growth
4. What marketable skills should students have after completion?	2	1	1	4	Current skills are slender. Need more concrete engineering skills.
5. How effective is the program's curriculum?	3	2	2	7	Could have projections of future offerings
6. How well does program communicate?	3	2	2	7	Add sample syllabus
7. How well are partnership resources built and leveraged?	4	3	3	10	
8. Are faculty supported with professional development?	4	3	3	10	
9. [Optional] Is the program supported with facilities, equipment, and financial resources?					

ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

Program: Engineering Field of Study **Reviewer** Nick Morgan

10. How have past CIPs contributed to success?	4	3	3	10	
11. How will program success be evaluated?	4	3	3	10	
12. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Table					

Overall Decision:

Accepted Without Recommendations
 Accepted With Recommendations
 Accepted with Required Recommendations
 Revisit and Revise

General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion: