

ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

Program: Engineering FOS Reviewer: Christopher Foree

	Responsive to the Component	Evidence	Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence	Overall Judgment	Comments
1. What does the program do?				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.
2. Program’s relationship to the college mission & strategic plan.				ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS	The authors answer the question with the basic information. A missed opportunity to provide more specific detail about how the program aligns with the mission and discuss more quantative data to demonstrate the program is succeeding with students.
3. Program relationship to student demand.				ACCEPTED WITH RECOMMENDATIONS	Another opportunity to provide more depth and nuance to the discussion. The answer focuses on the current situation and does not address future plans or future demand. This document should operate as an opportunity for future planning and address potential changes and growth to the program.
4. What marketable skills should students have after completion?				ACCEPTED WITH REQUIRED CHANGES	As stated above in #2—this is an opportunity to discuss with more depth. The website talks in more detail about marketable skills and how they function within the program. Including the material found on the website and talking about these skills with more

ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

Program: Engineering FOS

Reviewer: Christopher Foree

					depth and more quantitative analysis would greatly benefit the document.
5. How effective is the program's curriculum?				ACCEPTED WITH REQUIRED CHANGES	There is a necessity to provide more depth in this section and think forward. While we agree the program is operating successfully at the current time, there is no discussion about efforts to maintain the rigor or address the potential challenges in the future. There is also little attention given to student satisfaction and address how to grow and improve retention and completion rates.
6. How well does program communicate?				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.
7. How well are partnership resources built and leveraged?				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.
8. Are faculty supported with professional development?				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.
9. [Optional] Is the program supported with facilities, equipment, and financial resources?					NA
10. How have past CIPs contributed to success?				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.
11. How will program success be evaluated?				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.
12. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Table				ACCEPTED WITHOUT RECOMMENDATIONS	The answer is complete and well composed.

ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

Program: Engineering FOS

Reviewer: Christopher Foree

Overall Decision:

Accepted Without Recommendations

Accepted With Recommendations

Accepted with
Required
Recommendations

Revisit and Revise

General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion: