The Program Review Steering Committee has completed its review of your submission. Attached you will find the full composite review for your program. After reading this document, if you would like to make any changes or updates to the program review document that you had originally submitted to the committee, please do so and forward the edited submission to effectiveness@collin.edu by **July 31, 2024**. Your program review submission will be published on the college website on August 2, 2024. For clarification of findings, please contact the senior reviewer.

|  |
| --- |
| Program Review Steering Committee Contact Information  Name and email of the committee member you can contact |
| Jeffery Johnson, Senior Reviewer, JWJohnson@collin.edu |
| Status of Review  Overall current status of your program review |
| Accepted without recommendations  Accepted with recommendations  Accepted with required changes  Revisit and revise |
| Summary  Description of findings from your program review |
| Jeffery Johnson – Revisit and Revise - The program did a good job of attempting to supply information. However, many areas were either not addressed, lacked data and/or lacked analysis resulting in an incomplete overall review of the program.  Cathleen Akers – Revisit and Revise – My rationale for the conclusion (overall decision) is based on what I regarded as the absence of responsiveness, evidence, and analysis to #3, #10, and #11. I acknowledge that my assessment of #10 and #11 differs from those of my teammates.  In general, my sense of this submission is that the lack of engagement in the responses with the terms/vocabulary used in the review questions/prompts made it unclear about the extent to which the responses were acceptable. The most significant example of this centers on program learning outcomes. If the program learning outcomes are contained in any of the responses in this submission, then I did not recognize them as such because this submission contains no list labeled “program learning outcomes.”  Andrea Martinez – Revisit and Revise - Based on the present review, it is clear that the faculty and staff meet the needs of their students and community. Many of their partnerships with the community added a highlight to the overall work the program does for Collin College. However, many queries were completely unanswered or explored within the documents provided. Overall, the analysis and evidence presented lacked thoroughness. There were several pieces of important evidence that was missing – particularly in sections 3, 4, 10, and 11. The absence of this evidence resulted in the overall review of the program to be weak and unclear. |
| Highlights  Most important reviewer comments |
| Queries 3 & 11 were the most significant loss of points (Revisit and Revise) due to an overall lack of responsiveness, evidence/data and analysis.  Queries 1, 4, 5 & 10 contained some information but similarly lack complete responsiveness, evidence/data and analysis resulting in some loss of points (Accepted with Required Changes). |

As the senior reviewer in the review process, I acknowledge the notification of findings to the author and supervisor.

Jeffery Johnson March 25, 2024

Senior Reviewer Name Date