

# Name of Program for Review

Spring 2024  
Composite Summary **Heat Map**

Recommendation:

Accepted with Required Changes

Senior Reviewer Daphne Babcock  
Reviewer Christopher Foree  
Reviewer Nick Morgan

Legend

		Responsiveness to the Component	Evidence	Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence	Overall Judgment	140	150	Accepted Without Recommendations
1	What does the academic program do?	10			10	120	139	Accepted With Recommendations
2	Program relationship to the college mission and strategic plan.	2.5	2.5	2.5	7.5	90	119	Accepted with Required Changes
3	Program relationship to student demand.	2.5	2.5	2.5	7.5	0	89	Revisit and Revise
4	Marketable skills students should have after completing the program.	2	1.5	1.5	5			
5A	Make a case with evidence that there are no curricular barriers to completion.	2	1.5	1.5	5			
5B	Show evidence that the state standard for award competition has been met.	2	1.5	1.5	5			
5C	For any required program courses where there is a pattern of low enrollment, explain your plan to grow enrollment.	2	1.5	1.5	5			
5D	Make a case with evidence that the program is well-managed.	2	1.5	1.5	5			
6A	Provide an accurate representation of the program, and support the program's recruitment plan, retention plan and completion plan.	2.5	2.5	2.5	7.5			
6B	Documentation of the program awards etc.	2.5	2.5	2.5	7.5			
7	How well are partnership resources built & leveraged?	4	3	3	10			
8	Are the faculty supported with professional development?	4	3	3	10			
9	Does the program have adequate facilities, equipment and financial resources?							
10	How have past Continuous Improvement Plans contributed to success?	4	3	3	10			
11	How will program evaluate its success?	4	3	3	10			
12	Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP)	10			10			
<b>All sections must be completed or it will be an automatic revise and resubmit.</b>						115		
<b>Revisit and Revise or Accepted with Required Changes areas must be corrected and resubmitted and on file with PRSC</b>								Total Points