|  | **Responsiveness to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall Judgment** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. What does the workforce program do? | Accepted without Recommendations |  |  | Accepted without Recommendations |  |
| 2. Program relationship to the college mission and strategic plan. | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | This area could use some work. |
| 3. Program relationship to student demand. | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations |  |
| 4. Program relationship to market demand. | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations |  |
| 5. How effective is the program’s curriculum? | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations |  |
| 6. How well does program communicate? | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations |  |
| 7. How well are partnership resources built & leveraged? | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Weak on internal partnerships which could be strengthened. |
| 8. Are the faculty supported with professional development? | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations |  |
| 9. [Optional] Does the program have adequate facilities, equipment and financial resources? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | This section was a little weak. |
| 11. How will program evaluate its success? | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations | Accepted without Recommendations |  |
| 12. Future Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) | Accepted with Recommendations |  |  | Accepted with Recommendations | It was really tough to tell why the same CIP goals were identified as in the earlier CIP. Were previous goals not met? Why is there a new standard? Etc. |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Accepted Without Recommendations | Accepted With Recommendations | Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**