|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Responsive to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall****Judgment** | **Comments** |
| 1. What does the unit do? | Acceptable |  |  | Acceptable | Clear and effectively presented. |
| 2. What is the unit’s relationship to the college mission & strategic plan? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clear and effectively presented. |
| 3. Why are the unit processes done? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clear and effectively presented. |
| 4. How does the unit impact student outcomes? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clear and effectively presented. |
| 5. How effectively does the unit communicate? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | There needs to be a link to the instruction for Work Requests |
| 6. Does the unit build and leverage partnerships? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clear and effectively presented. |
| 7. Are staff supported with professional development? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | Exhibit A I was unable to open, so it needs to be dropped as a table.  |
| 8. [Optional] Does the unit have sufficient facilities and equipment? |  |  |  |  | Not applicable. |
| 9. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | CIP\_Facilties\_Grounds\_2017-18 was not able to be opened. I recommend these types of documents be put into an Appendix if they are large. |
| 10. How will the unit evaluate its success? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clear and effectively presented. |
| 11. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Tables | Acceptable |  |  | Acceptable | Table needed to be completed but CIP was acceptable. |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  Accepted Without Recommendations | x Accepted With Recommendations |  Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

The author did a very good job addressing the opportunities for improvement found in the 2022 Program Review submission that was designated as a Revise and Resubmit. The writing is clear and the presentation of evidence to back up statements was helpful. There were two minor issues found in this document: 1. The CIP needed additional work completing the Table but this was done and is therefore Accepted. 2. There are issues with the embedded files that could be solved by adding them to the Appendix. The revised document is much more focused in its scope and therefore more effective in communicating the ongoing activities of Facilities and Grounds.