|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Responsive to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall**  **Judgment** | **Comments** |
| 1. What does the unit do? | Acceptable |  |  | Acceptable |  |
| 2. What is the unit’s relationship to the college mission & strategic plan? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |  |
| 3. Why are the unit processes done? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |  |
| 4. How does the unit impact student outcomes? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |  |
| 5. How effectively does the unit communicate? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | There needs to be a link to the instruction for Work Requests |
| 6. Does the unit build and leverage partnerships? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |  |
| 7. Are staff supported with professional development? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | Exhibit A I was unable to open, so it needs to be dropped as a table. |
| 8. [Optional] Does the unit have sufficient facilities and equipment? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | CIP\_Facilties\_Grounds\_2017-18 was not able to be opened. I recommend these types of documents be put into an Appendix if they are large. |
| 10. How will the unit evaluate its success? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable |  |
| 11. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Tables | Acceptable |  |  | Acceptable |  |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Accepted Without Recommendations | x Accepted With Recommendations | Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

I believe that authoring team did a really good job addressing the shortcomings of the last Program Review that was designated as a Revise and Resubmit. The evidence provided was good and the only issues appeared to be with the embedded files that can either be grafted into the document as a table, or added as an Appendix.