|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Responsive to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall**  **Judgment** | **Comments** |
| 1. What does the unit do? | Accepted |  |  | Accepted | Much improved. |
| 2. What is the unit’s relationship to the college mission & strategic plan? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Clearly explained with evidence. |
| 3. Why are the unit processes done? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Clearly explained with evidence. |
| 4. How does the unit impact student outcomes? | Accepted | Accepted with recommendations | Accepted | Accepted | Addressed changes needed considering nature of the prompt. |
| 5. How effectively does the unit communicate? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | Link for the active survey should be included. |
| 6. Does the unit build and leverage partnerships? | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clearly explained with evidence. |
| 7. Are staff supported with professional development? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clearly explained with evidence. |
| 8. [Optional] Does the unit have sufficient facilities and equipment? |  |  |  |  | Not applicable |
| 9. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | Acceptable | Acceptable with Recommendation | Acceptable | Acceptable | Clearly explained with evidence. |
| 10. How will the unit evaluate its success? |  |  |  |  | Clearly explained with evidence. |
| 11. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Tables | Acceptable |  |  | Acceptable | Table needed to be completed. |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Accepted Without Recommendations | x Accepted With Recommendations | Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

The revised document is much more focused in its scope and therefore more effective in communicating the ongoing activities of Facilities and Grounds. The writing is clear and the presentation of evidence to back up statements was helpful. The CIP needed additional work completing the Table but this was done and is therefore Accepted.