|  | **Responsiveness to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall Judgment** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. What does the workforce program do? | Accepted |  |  | Accepted | All components addressed. |
| 2. Program relationship to the college mission and strategic plan. | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | All components addressed. |
| 3. Program relationship to student demand. | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | All components addressed. |
| 4. Program relationship to market demand. | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | All components addressed |
| 5. How effective is the program’s curriculum? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted | Components addressed. Recommend provide analysis on FT vs PT contact hours. Good on comparison to TCC and DC programs. |
| 6. How well does program communicate? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted | Most components addressed, recommend discussing audiences. |
| 7. How well are partnership resources built & leveraged? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | All components addressed. |
| 8. Are the faculty supported with professional development? | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted | Accepted with Recommendations | Nothing regarding PT faculty was mentioned. With 46% of contact hours taught by PT faculty, they should be included to completely address components. |
| 9. [Optional] Does the program have adequate facilities, equipment and financial resources? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | Accepted | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted | Accepted | Did the CIP contribute to advisory board recommendations – if so how? |
| 11. How will program evaluate its success? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Better explanation than last time – but need to explain what signifies success. |
| 12. Future Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) | Revise & Revisit |  |  | Accepted with Recommendations | Did not address missing 4th outcome and measure. There are measures and targets with no expected outcomes. |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Accepted Without Recommendations | Accepted With Recommendations | Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

Overall, the amended review is much clearer and the team addressed most of the concerns of the previous review group. There were still some areas that could have been addressed, but with 9 sections Accepted without recommendations, 2 accepted with recommendations, the vote is accepted without recommendations.