The Program Review Steering Committee has completed its review of your submission. Attached you will find the full composite review for your program. After reading this document, if you would like to make any changes or updates to the program review document that you had originally submitted to the committee, please do so and forward the edited submission to effectiveness@collin.edu by **July 31, 2023**. Your program review submission will be published on the college website on August 2, 2023. For clarification of findings, please contact the senior reviewer Araceli Solis.

|  |
| --- |
| Program Review Steering Committee Contact Information  Name and email of the committee member you can contact |
| Araceli solis asolis@collin.edu |
| Status of Review  Overall current status of your program review |
| Acceptable without recommendations  Acceptable with recommendations  Revisit and revise |
| Summary  Description of findings from your program review |
| Computer networking is a strong and promising program for the region and various industries. The different tracks correlate with industry certifications. The program is invested in student success and attainment of industry certifications. The review includes good information with sufficient evidence and analysis but there were some areas where more data and analysis should have been provided. The program is aware of the challenges with tracking and is doing what they can to gauge student success. Good job on this. |
| Highlights  Most important reviewer comments |
| Question 1: Accepted  Question 2: Accepted with Recommendations  More evidence was needed regarding the mission of the college and strategic goals one and two.  Question 3: Accepted with Recommendations  The question on demographics was not answered. Some of the tables needed more analysis.  Question 4: Accepted  Great projections. Partnerships were highlighted in relation to the market needs.  Question 5: Accepted with Recommendations  More analysis was needed. Consider more analysis when reviewing success in specific courses and outline a plan for addressing this.  Question 6: Accepted  Reviewers suggest more information on the CCNA certification on the landing page.  Question 7: Accepted  Impressive partnerships with industry. This is a major strength of the program.  Question 8: Accepted  Table was missing some entries. Some faculty information was organized well, and we recommend next time that professional development be organized by year.  Question 9: NA  Question 10: Accepted with Recommendations  This area needed more details regarding the previous CIPs. What skillsets were improved? What specific goals were set? Anything to add regarding the last Program Review?  Questions 11: Accepted with Recommendations  Based on the information in the review, the program has many strengths other than providing industry certifications and teamwork in the lab environment. Reviewers saw the partnerships as a strength of the program. Other strengths listed within the review were not mentioned. How are these strengths tied to outcome?  Tracking students was described as a challenge early in the document but not mentioned as a weakness.  Question 12: Accepted |

As the senior reviewer in the review process, I acknowledge the notification of findings to the author and supervisor.
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