|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Responsive to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall Judgment** | **Comments** |
| 1. What does the program do? | **Accepted** |  |  | **Accepted**  |  |
| 2. Program’s relationship to the college mission & strategic plan. | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | **Accepted** |  |
| 3. Program relationship to student demand. | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | **Accepted** |  |
| 4. What marketable skills should students have after completion? | **Accepted** | **Accepted with recommendations** | Accepted | **Accepted** | More data on marketable skills would be beneficial. Perhaps pull from ONet OnLine or JobsEQ |
| 5. How effective is the program’s curriculum? | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | **Accepted** |  |
| 6. How well does program communicate?  | **Accepted** | Accepted with recommendations | Accepted | **Accepted** | You have a stated target to women in STEM – Is there any information designed specifically around that? |
| 7. How well are partnership resources built and leveraged? | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | **Accepted** |  |
| 8. Are faculty supported with professional development? | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | **Accepted** |  |
| 9. [Optional] Is the program supported with facilities, equipment, and financial resources? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted |  |
| 11. How will program success be evaluated? | **Accepted** | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted |  |
| 12. Future Continuous Improvement Plan Table | **Accepted with recommendations** |  |  | **Accepted with recommendations** | Measures #1 and 2 are clearly understandable (comprehensive exam) but #3 and 4 would be helped by more details on scope of project – what aspects of the project will be graded for student comprehension? |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  Accepted Without Recommendations |  X Accepted With Recommendations |  Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

Overall, the program report clearly identifies all strengths and weaknesses with special attention and forethought given to methods of improvement. Only two areas are Accepted with Recommendation. These areas would be better explained with more data and tangible points of measure. What is the job outlook for this field? What marketable skills are being developed in the students? There is a stated initiative to increase the female student numbers, but your web pages and literature don’t have any communication geared towards women in STEM. What is the market demand for women in these fields? You can also make the SWE Collin College chapter a more prominent opportunity. Finally, measures 3 and 4 on the Future Continuous Improvement Plan Table are vague. How will the project evaluate the students’ overall comprehension of the curriculum?