|  | **Responsiveness to the Component** | **Evidence** | **Analysis: Explanation/ Rationale of Assertions Supported by Evidence** | **Overall Judgment** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. What does the workforce program do? | Accepted |  |  | Accepted. | Really great work. Emphasizes the marketable skills, mission, and regulatory standards and accreditation.  |
| 2. Program relationship to the college mission and strategic plan. | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Nicely done. Addresses every strategic goal with evidence and analysis and identifies several ways the mission is supported. |
| 3. Program relationship to student demand. | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Really well done. Lots of data and each question was answered well. |
| 4. Program relationship to market demand. | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Good data, good analysis.  |
| 5. How effective is the program’s curriculum? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Answers all the questions well. |
| 6. How well does program communicate? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Gives detail about the way students are surveyed and communicated with. Good analysis as to how many people are reached and interact with the program. |
| 7. How well are partnership resources built & leveraged? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Excellent listing of connections with organizations. I would have liked more elaboration on the value of each organization to the program. More than just a clinical site. What specifically do the students gain from their clinicals in this area? |
| 8. Are the faculty supported with professional development? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Very thorough! |
| 9. [Optional] Does the program have adequate facilities, equipment and financial resources? |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| 10. How have past CIPs contributed to success? | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Accepted | Thorough and concise! |
| 11. How will program evaluate its success? | Accepted | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Accepted with Recommendations | Would have preferred more data in regard to weaknesses and more analysis of that data.  |
| 12. Future Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) | Accepted |  |  | Accepted | Reasonable and measurable goals! |

**Overall Decision:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [x]  Accepted Without Recommendations | [ ]  Accepted With Recommendations | [ ]  Revisit and Revise |

**General comments about the submission or rationale for the conclusion:**

This was a good PR. I would only recommend some more detailed data analysis on some of the sections noted above. Since we are not in the surgical technology field, we are not always sure whether or not the numbers or data listed are meaningful in the same way a practitioner or faculty member might be. Other than that, recommend Accepted without Recommendations.